Abstract—In an earlier work we had explored the possibility of utilizing the vascular pattern of the sclera, episclera, and conjunctiva as a biometric indicator. These blood vessels, which can be observed on the white part of the human eye, demonstrate rich and seemingly unique details in visible light, and can be easily imaged using commercially available digital cameras. In this work we discuss a new method to represent and match the textural intricacies of this vascular structure using wavelet-derived features in conjunction with neural network classifiers. Our experimental results, based on the evidence of 50 subjects, indicate the potential of the proposed scheme to characterize the individuality of the ocular surface vascular patterns and further confirm our assertion that these patterns are indeed unique across individuals.

I. INTRODUCTION

Biometrics is the science of establishing the identity of individuals based on their unique physical or behavioral attributes [1]. Compared to traditional authentication methods such as ID cards (token-based) and passwords (knowledge-based), biometric recognition is considered to be more convenient and secure since an individual’s biological signatures cannot be easily lost, forgotten or stolen. Among all biometric traits, the textural structure of the human iris has been observed to be robust and reliable [2]. However, the performance of iris recognition systems is adversely affected by the quality of the acquired images. In particular, the presentation of non-frontal iris images to the system can result in inferior biometric matching performance. This has initiated research in the development of algorithms for processing off-angle, or non-ideal, iris images. However, besides the iris, human eyes carry other specific and identifiable patterns. One such pattern arises from the layers of vasculature seen on the white part of the eyeball. Most notably, when the camera acquires a non-frontal image of the iris, vascular information of the sclera, episclera, and conjunctiva is revealed, offering a complementary source of biometric information in addition to the iris under this otherwise challenging pose.

Incorporation of this modality in existing iris systems can also decrease the threat of spoof attacks as duplication of such intricate microcirculation by physical artifacts is expected to be non-trivial.

In an earlier publication, we had introduced the use of this ocular surface vasculature as a biometric and had presented some preliminary results in this regard [3]. In this work, we describe a new feature extraction and matching algorithm that treats the aforementioned vasculature as a textural entity rather than a landmark-rich structure composed of bifurcating points. The proposed feature extraction and matching scheme is evaluated on an extended dataset comprising of a larger pool of subjects, some of whom provided data over a longer period of time.

II. OCULAR SURFACE VASCULATURE

Using vascular patterns for personal identification has been studied in the context of fingers [4], palm [5], and retina [6]. In the case of retinal biometrics, which is closely related to the new modality discussed here, a special optical device for imaging the back of the eyeball is needed. Due to its perceived invasiveness and the required degree of subject cooperation, the use of retinal biometrics may not be acceptable to some individuals.

The conjunctiva is a thin, transparent, and moist tissue that covers the outer surface of the eye, including the white of the eye (sclera), as well as the inner lining of the eyelid. The part of the conjunctiva that covers the inner lining of the eyelids is called palpebral conjunctiva, and the part that covers the outer surface of the eye is called ocular (or the bulbar) conjunctiva, which is of our interest. The ocular conjunctiva is very thin and clear; thus the vasculature (including those of the episclera and sclera) is easily visible through it. The layers of visible surface microcirculation yield a rich and complex network of fine veins (Fig. 1). From this point on, we will simply refer to all the visible vascular structures on the white of the eye as conjunctival vasculature. The apparent complexity and specificity of these vascular patterns motivated us to study their potential as personal identifiers [7]. It is interesting to note that humans are the only mammals with extensive exposed sclera, which is amenable to imaging of the encompassing conjunctival vasculature [8].
Based on our pilot study on 50 volunteers, and related discussions in the literature, we have found conjunctival vasculature to be a suitable candidate for biometric identification as it conforms to the following criteria [9]:

(a) As living tissue, the white part of the eye along with its transparent skin has conjunctival vasculature (universality criterion).

(b) Vasculature is created during embryonic vasculogenesis. Its detailed final structure is mostly stochastic and thus its uniqueness stands to reason. Even though no exhaustive uniqueness study of vascular structures has been conducted, studies on specific surfaces such as the eye fundus have confirmed the uniqueness of their vascular patterns, even between identical twins [10], [11] (uniqueness criterion).

(c) Other than cases of significant trauma, pathology, or biochemical interference, spontaneous adult ocular vasculogenesis and angiogenesis usually do not occur. Hence, we expect the conjunctival vascular structure to have reasonable stability [12] (permanence criterion).

(d) Conjunctival vasculature can be captured with commercial off the shelf digital cameras, making this modality highly practical (practicality criterion).

(e) Since the subjects are not required to stare directly into the camera lens for conjunctival captures, and given the possibility of photographing conjunctival vasculature from several feet away, our suggested modality is non-intrusive and more convenient for the user (acceptability criterion).

(f) The fine, multi-surface structure of the ocular veins makes them hard to reproduce as a physical artifact (non-circumventability criterion).

Besides its demonstrated capabilities as a stand-alone biometric modality, we anticipate that the addition of conjunctival biometrics will increase the utility and precision of the current iris-based systems as well, especially under the following circumstances: (i) the subject is not cooperative (e.g. subject will not hold steady for iris registration, or he/she is looking away from the camera); (ii) subject’s iris cannot be successfully enrolled as a result of trauma, surgical alteration, or other pathological disfigurations; and (iii) the capture is long-range or otherwise non-ideal, where the iris images provide less information and need to be augmented with other identifiable features.
network classifier. Note that for data-driven nonlinear classifiers such as neural networks, it might be better to co-design the feature extractor with the classifier to better accommodate nonlinearly separable disjoint classes in the feature space.

Based on experiments with our classifier and data, we utilized Discrete Cohen-Daubechies-Feauveau 9/7 Wavelet transform, CDF 9/7, which is an effective biorthogonal Wavelet used in JPEG2000 and FBI fingerprint compressions [17]. Using the conjunctival segments present to the left and right of the iris, and after the earlier-mentioned preprocessing, we concatenated and down-sampled the mosaicked image to 100×200 pixels, and then performed a two dimensional CDF 9/7 transforms on the result. We used the transform with 8 levels and retained the first 512 components of the results (from the first 16×32 block) as the feature vector for each eye capture (subject’s biometric template). This is essentially an efficient lossy compression of the target vascular segments and thus retains most of the textural information of the conjunctival patterns in a relatively small feature vector (see Fig. 3).

D. Classification
For testing, the claimant’s template needs to be matched against his or her stored template for verification (one-to-one matching), or against the whole database of templates for identification (one-to-many matching). Based on their nonparametric, data-driven discrimination capabilities on datasets with unknown distributions [18], and their reported successful applications in biometric identification (e.g. see [19]), we used a single hidden layer feed forward neural network as our classifier. Based on our 512 feature, 50 user database, we chose a network with 512 input nodes, 300 hidden nodes, and 50 output nodes with bi-valued targets and hyperbolic tangent nonlinearities. We trained our network using enrollment data (first capture series) from all the three distances and with scaled conjugate gradient algorithm [20], which provides a good balance between performance, speed, and memory requirements. We tested the performance of the trained neural network classifier against the unseen second series of captures. Given the scarcity of training data in many biometric applications, we chose a regularized error function given in (1) to penalize extraneous weights and, thus, avoid over-parameterization in absence of robust validation-based early stopping:

\[
SSE_{\text{Regularized}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{P} w_k^2 \tag{1}
\]

Here \( N \) is the total number of training samples, \( e_i \) is the classification error for \( i \)th capture, \( P \) is the total number of free parameters (i.e. network weights \( w_k \)), and \( \lambda \) is the regularization constant which was set to 0.2 in our experiments. This parameter dictates the weight decay pressure, which is the result of weight-shrinking gradient of the second term in (1). Under gradient descent learning, lowering the above sum of squared errors (SSE) will yield sparse and thus low variance models on limited training datasets with good generalization capabilities. Another justification of the above augmented error criterion comes from derivation of (1) via a Bayesian framework and assuming Gaussian distribution for both the neural network weights and target data noise [21]. Either way, our post-training inspection of Hinton maps showed the utility of the above regularization scheme in reducing the effective number of network weights (Fig. 4).

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
We evaluated the trained neural network using the unseen test images (i.e. the second series of captures). The results
are depicted here using Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curves for 50 subjects, which are obtained by plotting the Genuine Accept Rate (GAR) against the False Accept Rate (FAR) and by changing the decision threshold applied to the neural network’s continuous outputs. Fig. 5 shows test ROC curves using conjunctival vasculature data from both eyes and for our 50-subject unseen test data. For ease of comparison, we are also reporting the Equal Error Rate (EER) of these curves. EER is simply the error rate when GAR=FAR. As can be seen from Fig. 5, when using conjunctival images from both eyes, the EERs are 4.3% for near distance images (1 ft), 8.8% for medium distance images (5 ft), and 9.2% for long distance images (9 ft). The performance degraded slightly when we trained and tested our neural network using conjunctival vasculature images of only one eye per subject, yielding 6.5%, 7.4%, and 11%
EERs, respectively, for 1, 5, and 9 ft test data (Fig. 6).

After 4 months from the first data collection, 17 of the 50 volunteers came back for a long term study of their conjunctival vasculature patterns. A close visual inspection of time-lapsed images revealed no significant change in the conjunctival vasculature patterns, indicating temporal pattern invariance to the extent that the limits of this initial study allow (Fig. 7).

We also tried to inspect another potential caveat regarding our new biometric modality. From the list of most probable adverse chemical agents to this measure, we chose to study the effects of over the counter Tetrahydrozoline HCL-based redness relievers such as Visine®, Eye-Lite®, and Readycor® with blanching effects on the conjunctival vasculature of interest. Based on the conjunctival images of three volunteers that used regular Visine®, we found no significant change in the conjunctival vascular patterns, especially after our enhancement routine (Fig. 8).

One can categorize the sources of observed error in this study as those induced by adverse lighting (glare), photography (equipment and human operator error), and image segmentation. A closer look at our current dataset reveals significant amount of variable glare, which was the result of an original stipulation not to use any special lighting arrangements. Thus, we conjecture that our primary source of error is adverse lighting that impacts the subsequent segmentation and feature extraction routines.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We have introduced a texture-based classification scheme for our novel conjunctival vasculature biometrics. Using the established Wavelet-derived features and neural network classifiers for a new application domain, we have shown the potential of conjunctival biometrics as a standalone authentication system using ordinary photographic setup. This new biometric modality also has the potential of adding precision and security to existing iris biometric systems. The corresponding research on the fusion of iris and conjunctival scans is on our future agenda. We also wish to incorporate alternate and complementary techniques of conjunctival feature extraction and matching, including the fusion of our previous minutiae-based approach [3] with the current texture-based system for a robust, multi-algorithmic approach. We hypothesize the different nature of these methods should produce part-uncorrelated identification score errors and thus a committee of the aforementioned classifiers might yield lower error rates.

Our research also shows potential for long range ocular biometrics with our current results indicating the possibility of conjunctival identification from up to 3 meters away. The performance of our system on longer distances is the subject of future research.

We have observed minimal visible conjunctival vasculature variance over a course of 4 months, but we wish to extend our invariance study over longer periods of time for further insight into possible template aging. We also wish to study larger groups of subjects and under different circumstances, including possible pathologies such as conjunctivitis (pink eye) and spoofing scenarios.
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